

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/623,877	07/21/2003	Shariar Motakef	23681-013 DIV	5899	
30623	7590 08/31/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE		
AND POPEO, ONE FINANC	, P.C. CIAL CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, M.	A 02111		3742		
	•		DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- / /
	10/623,877	MOTAKEF ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph M Pelham	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	ş
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rr - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	June 2004.		
,	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal matte	•	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-35 and 40-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-35 and 40-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) \square objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	е
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	F-1	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) _·	ı

Art Unit: 3742

The Examiner acknowledges Applicant's Response submitted 6/4/04. Claims 31-35 and 40-44 are now pending. Applicant mentions the submission of a Terminal Disclaimer in the Response, but the document was not received.

The Examiner notes that claims 31-35 should have been rejected over the admitted prior art and the prior art of record in the previous Office action, which rejection has been made herein; he sincerely regrets any inconvenience.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31-35 and 40-44 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6624390. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims merely broaden the scope of the parent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 31-35 and 40-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the acknowledged prior art in view of U.S. Patent 3033968 to Julie.

The acknowledged prior art, at pages 2-3 of the instant specification, discloses the problems, such as plastic deformation, associated with non-uniform heating in crystal annealing furnaces. The acknowledged prior art does not explicitly disclose a thermally conductive inner housing encased within and in contact with a thermally insulative outer housing, both housings formed of either carbon or graphite, control means to prevent plastic deformation by maintaining shear stress below $0.5e^{(990/T)}$ MPa, the thermally insulative outer housing encased within another thermally conductive housing which is displaced from the thermally insulative outer housing, or a heating element outside the thermally insulative outer housing.

Art Unit: 3742

Referring to Figures 1 and 7, column 2, lines 42-52, and column 5, lines 3-21, Julie teaches that a thermally conductive inner housing (2, 62) encased within and in contact with a thermally insulative outer housing (3, 63), and a heating element (5, 64) outside the thermally insulative outer housing results in excellent chamber heating uniformity. Julie discloses control means (Figure 8) to maintain heating uniformity. The examiner notes that carbon or graphite have long been utilized as furnace housing materials, and would therefore have been considered as a matter of course and chosen strictly in accord with workpiece and process parameters. It would have been obvious to adapt the housing structure of Julie, insofar as it teaches the relative thermal conductivities of the housings, to a crystal annealing furnace since Julie teaches such to enhance heating uniformity. Although the acknowledged prior art is not explicitly disclosed to teach temperature sensing means, such are certainly well known and necessary means to control the device; moreover, Julie discloses sensor means 66.

Further, the control arrangement of the prior art in view of Julie would appear to effectively maintain the heating uniformity for which it was intended, and hence would appear inherently to prevent plastic deformation by maintaining shear stress below $0.5e^{(990/T)}$ MPa; and another thermally conductive housing displaced from the thermally insulative outer housing would have been obvious since such is the conventional form of a furnace exterior, which among other benefits avails to protect the furnace.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph M Pelham whose telephone number is 703-308-1709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8/30/04

JOSEPH PELHAM

NAHDECHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER